arXiv:hep-ph/9404203v1 1 Apr 1994

DFUB 94-01
24 February 1994

Hyperspherical integration and the triple-cross vertex graphs

S.Laporta [1]

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna,
and INFN, Sezione di Bologna,
Via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy

Abstract.

We have extended the hyperspherical variables method to the analytical calculation of the angular integral of the box graph. We discuss the applications of our results to the analytical calculation of the QED contribution to the electron g-2 of the set of three-loop triple-cross vertex graphs.

PACS 12.20.Ds - Specific calculations and limits of quantum electrodynamics.
PACS 12.90 - Miscellaneous theoretical ideas and models.

---

[1] E-mail: `laporta@bo.infn.it`

1. New planned high precision experimental determinations of the electron g-2 demand correspondent higher precision calculations of QED theoretical contributions. One-loop, two-loop and almost all the three-loop QED contributions to the electron g-2 are known in analytical form. The analytical calculations of the known three-loop contributions relied on two approaches: the hyperspherical variables method [1][2] and the dispersion relations method [2][3], the choice being dependent on the topology of the graphs. A hybrid dispersive and hyperspherical approach turned out to be more convenient for some troublesome sets of graphs [4][5].

There are two sets of three-loop graphs whose contributions are still not known in analytical form, but only in numerical form. Analytical calculation of one of them, the set of graph called "corner-ladder", is in progress [6]. On the contrary, none of the above mentioned analytical approaches seems to be suitable for the analytical calculation of the last remaining set of (not planar) graphs called "triple-cross" (see Fig.1). The application of the dispersion relations method to the whole graph seems difficult because of the high number of cut graphs and the complexity of the calculations involved. On the other hand, the hyperspherical variables method (in the formulation of ref.[1]) cannot be applied to box graphs or not planar vertex graphs at all. No useful way of combining both methods has been found so far.

In this paper we present an extension of the hyperspherical variables method to the box graph and we discuss the application of our results to the calculation of a contribution of a typical not planar "triple-cross" graph.

2. Let us summarize the main steps of the analytical calculation of a Feynman integral by means of the hyperspherical variables approach [1]:

1) The Feynman integral is Wick-rotated to an Euclidean integral; so doing, the external momenta become all spacelike, and the result of the integration must be eventually continued to the timelike region.

2) Four-dimensional hyperspherical polar coordinates are introduced for each loop momentum.

3) The angular integrations are analytically performed.

4) The remaining radial integrations (one for each loop) are performed analytically.

Obviously the points 1) and 2) do not depend on the particular kind of graph considered. The point 3) is more critical; an elegant and fast method for performing the angular integrations is presented in ref.[1]: the denominators are expanded in Gegenbauer polynomials (related to four-dimensional hyperspherical harmonics), the angular integrals are performed using orthogonality relations and the infinite series so obtained is summed up analytically. The feasibility of the subsequent radial integration is related to the analytical form of the results of the angular integrals. As an example, let us consider the simple 4-dimensional angular integral of a scalar bubble-loop graph

$$\int \frac{d\Omega_q}{(q-k)^2+m^2} \ , \tag{1}$$

where q and k are vectors in a 4-dimensional Euclidean space. The denominator of eq.(1) is expanded in Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^1(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k})$:

$$\frac{1}{(q-k)^2+m^2} = \frac{1}{|q||k|}\frac{1}{1-2Z\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}+Z^2} = \frac{1}{|q||k|}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z^{n+1} C_n^1\left(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}\right) \ , \tag{2}$$

where

$$Z = \frac{q^2+k^2+m^2-R(q^2,k^2,-m^2)}{2|q||k|} \ ,$$

$$R(x,y,z) = \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2-2xy-2xz-2yz} \ ;$$

the angular integrations are performed by using the relation

$$\int d\Omega_q \ C_n^1(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}) = 2\pi^2\delta_{0n} \ ,$$

so that the summation of the infinite series (2) becomes trivial and

$$\int \frac{d\Omega_q}{(q-k)^2+m^2} = 2\pi^2\frac{Z}{|q||k|} \ . \tag{3}$$

Successful application of the above described method to more complex angular integrals depends strongly on the momentum routing and of topology of the correspondent graphs; in fact, these conditions must be satisfied [1]:

i) The momentum of each line of the graph must be a linear combination of only two distinct momenta, loop or external; for every planar vertex graph one can find a momentum routing which satisfies such a condition, while in the case of the not planar "triple-cross" vertex graphs this is not possible.

ii) At least one vector must not appear in more than two Gegenbauer polynomials, as tables of integrals of product of three Gegenbauer polynomials containing the same vector are not known; the box graph and all graphs made up only of box subgraphs do not satisfy this condition.

Let us now consider the angular integral containing two denominators

$$\int \frac{d\Omega_q}{[(q-k_1)^2+m_1^2][(q-k_2)^2+m_2^2]} \tag{4}$$

which is the hyperspherical angular integral of a triangle loop; this integral can be worked out in the same way of previous integral (1); in fact, applying the expansion (2) to both denominators, one works out the double series

$$\int \frac{d\Omega_q}{[(q-k_1)^2+m_1^2][(q-k_2)^2+m_2^2]} = \frac{1}{q^2|k_1||k_2|}\times$$

$$\times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_1^{m+1} Z_2^{n+1} C_m^1\left(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}_1\right) C_n^1\left(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}_2\right) \ , \tag{5}$$

$$Z_i = \frac{q^2+k_i^2+m_i^2-R(q^2,k_i^2,-m_i^2)}{2|q||k_i|} \ ,$$

which, by using the orthogonality properties of the hyperspherical harmonics

$$\int C_m^1(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}_1)\, C_n^1(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}_2)\, d\Omega_q = 2\pi^2\ \frac{\delta_{mn}}{n+1} C_n^1(\hat{k}_1\cdot\hat{k}_2) \ , \tag{6}$$

is reduced to one single series whose sum contains two logarithms of complex arguments containing Z_1 and Z_2; we do not show this result here.

On the contrary, we underline a simple but important fact: the angular integrals (1) and (4) can be calculated directly integrating over the three hyperspherical angles, avoiding the use of the expansion (2), and writing

$$\int d\Omega_q = \int_0^{\pi} \sin^2\theta_1 d\theta_1 \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta_2 d\theta_2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_3 \ ; \tag{7}$$

the calculations become rather cumbersome, but, as it will be shown later, they are still feasible when the expansion (2) cannot be applied.

Let us now calculate the integral (4) in analytical form using the decomposition (7). We combine the denominators by using one Feynman parameter, the simple angular integration is done by using the 1-denominator result (3), and the subsequent integration over the Feynman parameter is straightforward.

The analytical result contains logarithmic functions of rather complicated arguments that we schematize as

$$\log\frac{\alpha+\beta R_1+\gamma R_2+\delta R_1 R_2}{\alpha+\beta R_1-\gamma R_2-\delta R_1 R_2} = \log\frac{N(R_1,R_2)}{N(R_1,-R_2)} \ , \tag{8}$$

where α, β, γ, δ are polynomials and R_1 and R_2 are square roots of polynomials of the radial variables; we note that the logarithms appearing in the result obtained using the expansion in Gegenbauer polynomials have a similar complicated form. In both cases, for continuing the analytical integration over the radial variable $|q|$ it is essential to decompose these logarithmic functions [5], by separating the various combinations of square roots R_i so that only functions of the form

$$\log\frac{a+bR_1}{a-bR_1}\ ,\log\frac{c+dR_2}{c-dR_2}\ ,\log\frac{e+fR_1R_2}{e-fR_1R_2}$$

appear. In fact, by observing that the product

$$N(R_1,R_2)N(-R_1,R_2)N(R_1,-R_2)N(-R_1,-R_2,)$$

is a polynomial squared and that

$$N(R_1,R_2)N(-R_1,R_2)=P_1(c+dR_2)^2\ ,$$

$$N(R_1,R_2)N(R_1,-R_2)=P_2(a+bR_1)^2\ ,$$

$$N(R_1,R_2)N(-R_1,-R_2)=P_3(e+fR_1R_2)^2\ ,$$

(P_i are polynomials), we can rewrite eq.(8) as

$$\log\frac{N(R_1,R_2)}{N(R_1,-R_2)}=\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{N(R_1,R_2)N(-R_1,R_2)}{N(R_1,-R_2)N(-R_1,-R_2)}\frac{N(R_1,R_2)N(-R_1,-R_2)}{N(R_1,-R_2)N(-R_1,R_2)}\ ,$$

so that

$$\log\frac{N(R_1,R_2)}{N(R_1,-R_2)}=\log\frac{c+dR_2}{c-dR_2}+\log\frac{e+fR_1R_2}{e-fR_1R_2}\ . \tag{9}$$

Applying the decomposition here exemplified to all the logarithmic functions, the analytical expression of the integral (4) takes the simpler form:

$$\begin{aligned}\int\frac{d\Omega_q}{[(q-k_1)^2+m_1^2][(q-k_2)^2+m_2^2]}&=\frac{\pi^2}{q^2R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)}\times\\ \times\Bigg\{\log&\frac{C_1+R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)R(q^2,k_1^2,-m_1^2)}{C_1-R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)R(q^2,k_1^2,-m_1^2)}\\ +\log&\frac{C_2+R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)R(q^2,k_2^2,-m_2^2)}{C_2-R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)R(q^2,k_2^2,-m_2^2)}\\ +\log&\frac{C_3+R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)}{C_3-R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)}\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}C_1=&(k_2^2-k_1^2-(k_1-k_2)^2)q^2+k_1^4+m_1^2(k_1^2+k_2^2-(k_1-k_2)^2)\\ &-k_1^2(k_2^2+(k_1-k_2)^2+2m_2^2)\ ,\\ C_2=&(k_1^2-k_2^2-(k_1-k_2)^2)q^2+k_2^4+m_2^2(k_1^2+k_2^2-(k_1-k_2)^2)\\ &-k_2^2(k_1^2+(k_1-k_2)^2+2m_1^2)\ ,\\ C_3=&(k_1-k_2)^2-k_1^2-k_2^2\ .\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The symmetry of eq.(10) under the exchange $(k_1, m_1) \leftrightarrow (k_2, m_2)$ is evident; we underline that eq.(10) has a form simpler than the result obtained using the expansion (2), which contains more complicated logarithms of the kind (8).

Let us continue our analysis, considering this time the angular integral containing three denominators

$$\int \frac{d\Omega_q}{[(q-k_1)^2+m_1^2][(q-k_2)^2+m_2^2][(q-k_3)^2+m_3^2]} \tag{12}$$

which is the hyperspherical angular part of the amplitude of the box graph shown in Fig.2. Using again the expansion (2), we work out a triple series containing the angular integrals

$$\int C_m^1(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}_1)\; C_n^1(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}_2)\; C_p^1(\hat{q}\cdot\hat{k}_3)\; d\Omega_q \quad . \tag{13}$$

The analytical expressions of above integrals are not known; the known summation formulae for hyperspherical harmonics, which allows to work out eq.(6), are not useful in this case; the reason of this behaviour will be more clear in the following. Therefore the integral (12) cannot be calculated in analytical form using the method shown in ref.[1]. Thus, following the above described two-denominators example, we combine the three denominators of eq.(12) introducing two Feynman parameters, we perform the angular integration using the formula

$$\int \frac{d\Omega_q}{[(q-k)^2+m^2]^3} = \frac{2\pi^2}{R^3(q^2,k^2,-m^2)} \tag{14}$$

and the subsequent integrations over the Feynman parameters which are straightforward but rather cumbersome; the result is a sum of four logarithmic functions of asymmetrical huge arguments which are decomposed with the same mechanism of eq.(8-9) and recombined into three logarithms of simpler arguments. We expect the result to have a structure similar to that of eq.(10). In fact one obtains

$$\int \frac{d\Omega_q}{[(q-k_1)^2+m_1^2][(q-k_2)^2+m_2^2][(q-k_3)^2+m_3^2]} = \frac{\pi^2}{q^2\sqrt{\Delta}}\times$$
$$\times\left\{\log\frac{C_1+R(q^2,k_1^2,-m_1^2)\sqrt{\Delta}}{C_1-R(q^2,k_1^2,-m_1^2)\sqrt{\Delta}}+\log\frac{C_2+R(q^2,k_2^2,-m_2^2)\sqrt{\Delta}}{C_2-R(q^2,k_2^2,-m_2^2)\sqrt{\Delta}}\right.$$
$$\left.+\log\frac{C_3+R(q^2,k_3^2,-m_3^2)\sqrt{\Delta}}{C_3-R(q^2,k_3^2,-m_3^2)\sqrt{\Delta}}\right\} \tag{15}$$

where Δ is the polynomial of degree 2 in q^2

$$\Delta = \alpha_2(q^2)^2+\alpha_1 q^2+\alpha_0$$

with the coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_2 =& R^2(a_1,a_2,a_3)\\
\alpha_1 =& 2u_1(2a_1b_1-a_1b_2-a_1b_3+a_2b_3+a_3b_2-a_2b_2-a_3b_3)\\
&+2(u_1-b_1)a_1(a_1-a_2-a_3)-\frac{4}{3}a_1a_2a_3 \quad (+2 \text{ cyclic permutations})\\
\alpha_0 =& u_1^2(a_1^2-2a_1b_2-2a_1b_3-2b_2b_3+b_2^2+b_3^2)\\
&+2u_1u_2(-a_1a_2+a_1b_1+a_1b_3+a_2b_2+a_2b_3-2a_3b_3-b_1b_2+b_1b_3+b_2b_3-b_3^2)\\
&+2u_1(a_1^2b_1-a_1a_2b_2-a_1a_3b_3-a_1b_1b_2-a_1b_1b_3+2a_1b_2b_3+a_2b_2^2-a_2b_2b_3\\
&-a_3b_2b_3+a_3b_3^2)+a_1^2b_1^2-2a_1a_2b_1b_2 \quad (+2 \text{ cyclic permutations})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_1=(k_2-k_3)^2$, $a_2=(k_1-k_3)^2$, $a_3=(k_1-k_2)^2$, $b_i=k_i^2$ and $u_i=m_i^2$ (we show a partial list of the expressions of α_0 and α_1 with the indication that the complete expressions must be obtained summing up also the two cyclic permutations of the indices 1,2 and 3 of the shown portions).

The arguments of the logarithms contain

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_1 =& \beta_2q_2^2+\beta_1q_2+\beta_0\\
\beta_2 =& a_1-a_2-a_3\\
\beta_1 =& u_1(2a_1-a_2-a_3+2b_1-b_2-b_3)+u_2(-a_2-b_1+b_3)+u_3(-a_3-b_1+b_2)\\
&-2a_1b_1-2a_2a_3+a_2b_1+a_2b_2+a_3b_1+a_3b_3\\
\beta_0 =& u_1^2(a_1-b_2-b_3)+u_1u_2(-a_2+b_1+b_3)+u_1u_3(-a_3+b_1+b_2)\\
&+u_2b_1(-a_2+b_1-b_3)+u_3b_1(-a_3+b_1-b_2)+u_2u_3(-2b_1)\\
&+u_1(2a_1b_1-a_2b_2-a_3b_3-b_1b_2-b_1b_3+2b_2b_3)+a_1b_1^2-a_2b_1b_2-a_3b_1b_3
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_2 =& C_1(\text{with the cyclic permutation } 1\to 2,\ 2\to 3,\ 3\to 1)\\
C_3 =& C_1(\text{with the cyclic permutation } 1\to 3,\ 2\to 1,\ 3\to 2)\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that:

1) Due to the effect of decomposition (8-9), eq.(15) has a form such that the symmetry under the permutations of the indices 1,2 and 3 is evident at sight.

2) Comparing eq.(15) and eq.(10) we observe that the square root $\sqrt{\Delta}$ plays a role analogous to that of $R(k_1^2,k_2^2,(k_1-k_2)^2)$ in eq.(10), but it has a more complex structure, not reducible to one single square root of the kind $R(x,y,z)$. This fact explains why the expansion in hyperspherical harmonics fails to obtain our result eq.(15): if the integrals of three Gegenbauer polynomials (13) were known in analytical form, we would be able to perform the angular integration of the product of the expansions of the three denominators of eq.(12); but the result would be the expansion of our result (15) in

Gegenbauer polynomials, surely very complicated, whose summation in analytical form to (15) would be almost hopeless.

3) The angular integral of the box graph (15) can be used as a "building block" in order to work out more complex angular integrals.

3. In this section we apply the result of the previous section to the analytical calculation of the contribution to electron g-2 of a typical "triple-cross" graph.

We consider the scalar amplitude I of the not planar self-energy graph obtained from the vertex graph of Fig.1, disregarding the external photon interaction and the numerators of the Feynman integral.

Following the section (2), we decompose the integral in radial and angular parts:

$$I = \int \frac{dk_1^2}{2} \int \frac{k_2^2\, dk_2^2}{2} \int \frac{q^2\, dq^2}{2(q^2+1)} J(k_1^2, k_2^2, q^2, p^2)$$

where $J(k_1^2, k_2^2, q^2, p^2)$ is the angular integral

$$J(k_1^2, k_2^2, q^2, p^2) = \int \frac{d\Omega_{k_2}}{(p-k_2)^2} \int \frac{d\Omega_q}{(q-k_2)^2\, [(p+q-k_2)^2+1]} \times$$
$$\times \int \frac{d\Omega_{k_1}}{(k_1-p)^2\;\; [(k_1-k_2)^2+1]\;\; [(k_1-q)^2+1]} \tag{16}$$

and the electron mass has been set to 1. We underline that this graph is not planar and, from a topological point of view, it is made up of three box loops with one or two common sides; the momentum routing has been chosen in such a way that only one denominator be a linear combination of three momenta (this fact is unavoidable because of the not planarity of the graph). As we have shown in the previous section, the expansion of the denominators is not useful for evaluating this angular integral in analytical form, therefore we apply eq.(15) to the angular integration over k_1, so we find:

$$J(k_1^2, k_2^2, q^2) = \frac{\pi^2}{q^2} \int \frac{d\Omega_{k_2}}{(p-k_2)^2} \int \frac{d\Omega_q}{(q-k_2)^2\, [(p+q-k_2)^2+1]} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\log F_i}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\ ;$$

the arguments of the logarithms contain the square roots $R(k_1^2, p^2, -1)$, $R(k_1^2, k_2^2, -1)$, $R(k_1, q^2, -1)$, $\sqrt{\Delta}$, whose only $\sqrt{\Delta}$ depends on the angles among p, q and k_2. We introduce hyperspherical angles for the remaining angular integrations

$$\int d\Omega_{k_2} \int d\Omega_q = \int_0^{\pi} 4\pi \sin^2\theta_1 d\theta_1 \int_0^{\pi} 2\pi \sin^2\phi_1 d\phi_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \sin\phi_2 d\phi_2$$

where θ_1 and ϕ_1 are respectively the angle between p and k_2 and between q and k_2, and ϕ_2 is the azimuthal angle between p and q; the result of the integration over ϕ_2 is schematized as

$$J(k_1^2,k_2^2,q^2)=\pi^4\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^2\theta_1}{p^2+k_2^2-2|p||k_2|\cos\theta_1}d\theta_1\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^2\phi_1}{q^2+k_2^2-2|q||k_2|\cos\phi_1}d\phi_1\times$$

$$\times\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_0}}\sum_{i=1}^{30}\mathrm{Li}_2(G_i) \tag{17}$$

where Δ_0 is a polynomial of degree 2 in $\cos\theta_1$ and $\cos\phi_1$. A careful analysis of the structure of the square root $\sqrt{\Delta_0}$ and of the zeroes of the two denominators of eq.(17) containing $\cos\theta_1$ and $\cos\phi_1$ shows that the angular integration over θ_1 is of elliptic kind, therefore the angular integral (16) cannot be reduced in closed analytical form. On the contrary we have worked out a integral representation. This not expected behaviour persists even using different momentum routings; it is probably due to the not planarity of considered graph.

However, this fact does not stop our analytical calculation. We found that the total angular integral of the two-loop self-energy graph with two photon crossed is expressed by a elliptic integral involving logarithms obtained from eq.(8) if one uses a particular momentum routing; in this case an exchange between the radial integrations and the remaining angular integral avoids the ellipticity and allows one to complete the analytical calculation.

Considering our three-loop result (17), one finds that Δ_0 is a polynomial of degree two in q^2, so that an exchange between the integrations over θ_1 and q^2 allows one to continue the calculation. Work is in progress to extend this mechanism to the remaining radial integrations.

All the algebraic manipulations were carried out through the symbolic manipulation program ASHMEDAI [7].

References

[1] M.J. Levine and R.Roskies, *Phys.Rev.* D **9**, 421 (1974).

[2] R.Z.Roskies, E.Remiddi and M.Levine in *Quantum Electrodynamics*, editor T.Kinoshita, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), p.162.

[3] R.Barbieri, J.A.Mignaco and E.Remiddi, *Nuovo Cimento A*, **11**, 824-865 (1972).

[4] M.J. Levine, E. Remiddi and R. Roskies, *Phys.Rev.* D **20**, 2068 (1979); S. Laporta and E.Remiddi, *Phys.Lett.* **B**265, 182 (1991).

[5] S. Laporta and E.Remiddi, *Phys.Lett.* **B**301, 440 (1993).

[6] M. Caffo, E. Remiddi and S. Turrini, *Nuovo Cimento A*, **2**, 220 (1984).

[7] M. J. Levine, U.S. AEC Report No. CAR-882-25 (1971) (unpublished).

Figure captions

Fig.1: An example of triple-cross vertex graph. The cross indicates the external photon interaction.
Fig.2: The box graph.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9404203v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9404203v1